The Distress Thermometer does not predict cancer-related cognitive dysfunctions in cancer patients underdoing curative treatment

Michelle Lycke¹, Lies Pottel¹, Tom Boterberg^{2,} Hans Pottel^{3,} Lore Ketelaars⁴, Karin Stellamans⁵, Koen Van Eygen^{1,6}, Philippe Vergauwe⁷, Patrick Werbrouck⁸, Bart Bruneel⁹, Philip R. Debruyne^{1,10}

- ¹ Cancer Center, General Hospital Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium
- ² Department of Radiation Oncology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium
- ³ Department of Public Health and Primary Care @ Kulak, Catholic University Leuven Kulak, Kortrijk, Belgium
- ⁴ Department of Psychology, General Hospital Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium
- ⁵ Department of Radiation Oncology, General Hospital Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium
- ⁶ Department of Hematology, General Hospital Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium
- ⁷ Department of Gastro-Enterology, General Hospital Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium
- ⁸ Department of Urology, General Hospital Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium
- ⁹ Department of Neurology, General Hospital Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium
- ¹⁰ Centre for Positive Ageing, University of Greenwich, London, UK

BACKGROUND

Cancer-related cognitive dysfunction is an important sideeffect reported among breast and other cancer patients. Initially, these problems were attributed to a chemotherapeutic treatment. However, research has shown that psychological factors such as distress may also play a role in its development.

OBJECTIVES

We aimed to validate the Distress Thermometer, accompanied by the 38-item Problem List, as a screening tool to detect cancer-related cognitive dysfunctions in cancer patients 6 months after treatment start through receiver operating characteristics analysis (ROC).

Philip.Debruyne@azgroeninge.be; Michelle.Lycke@azgroeninge.be

RESULTS

A total of 125 patients were included. Of those, 100 patients were evaluated 6 months after treatment start. Patients had a mean age of 61 years (range 30-85). They presented with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of breast cancer (44.0%), digestive cancer (28.8%), genitourinary cancer (11.2%), gynecologic cancer (8.0%), hematologic malignancy (4.8%) or lung cancer (3.2%). The majority of patients (87.2%) had also undergone surgery (Table 3).

Table 3: Demographic and medical data (n=125)

Table 0. Demographic and mealour data (n-			
DEMOGRAPHICS	N (%)		
Gender			
Male	43 (34.4)		
Female	82 (65.6)		
Education			
Primary education	0		
Lower secondary education	37 (29.6)		
Higher secondary education	48 (38.4)		
Higher education	35 (28.0)		
Other	5 (4.0)		
MEDICAL DATA			
Stage			
Early stage (I-II)	78 (62.4)		
Late stage (III-IV)	47 (37.6)		
Treatment			
Radiotherapy alone	9 (7.2)		
Chemotherapy alone	31 (24.8)		
Hormonal treatment alone	1 (0.8)		
Chemoradiotherapy	31 (24.8)		
Radiotherapy + hormonal treatment	50 (40.0)		
Chemotherapy + hormonal treatment	1 (0.8)		
Chemoradiotherapy + hormonal treatment	2 (1.6)		



METHODS

Patients were recruited at the Kortrijk Cancer Center. All cancer patients (\geq 18 years) with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of a solid tumor or hematologic malignancy, who were scheduled to receive an anticancer treatment with curative intent, were invited to participate in this trial. Consenting patients underwent a baseline assessment and one 6 months after treatment start. Patients were screened by the Distress Thermometer (cut-off \geq 4) and the 38-item Problem List followed by a neuropsychological assessment (Table 1) and self-assessment tools (Table 2).

Table 1: Neuropsychological assessment

Test	Domain	
Controlled Oral Word Association Test	Semantic word fluency	
(COWA): animals		
Controlled Oral Word Association Test: 'N'	Phonetic word fluency	
Rey's Auditory Verbal Learning Test (AVLT):	Verbal learning	
delayed recall	Verbal episodic memory	
Complex Figure Test (CFT): delayed recall	Visual episodic memory	
WAIS-III Digit Span	Attention	
	Working memory	
WAIS-III Digit Symbol	Executive function	
Trail Making Test (TMT): condition 2	Executive function	
Trail Making Test: condition 4	Executive function	

Table 2. Self-assessment tools

Questionnaire	Domain	
Distress Thermometer	Psychological distress	
Hospital anxiety and depression scale	Anxiety and depression	
FACIT Fatigue-scale	Fatigue	
Cognitive Failure Questionnaire	Subjective cognitive	

At baseline, patients presented with a mean distress score of 4.4 (range 0-9.0). 29.6% of patients presented with a cognitive impairment according to the ICCTF definition. Six months after treatment start, the remaining 100 patients had a mean distress score of 3.6 (range 0-9.0). Of those, 29.0% patients presented with a cognitive impairment. Table 4 indicates the z-scores (mean=0;SD=1) per test of these 100 patients.

Table 4: Mean z-scores per test baseline and 6 months after treatment start (n=100)

Test		Baseline (range) 6	6 months (range)
COWA: animals		0.14 (-2.70 – 2.79) 0.	.20 (-2.37 – 3.56)
COWA: 'N'		0.13 (-2.47 – 2.87) 0.	.22 (-2.78 – 4.49)
AVLT: delayed recall		-0.14 (-6.09 – 2.00) -0	0.52 (-7.00 – 2.00)
CFT: delayed recall		0.13 (-1.53 – 1.83) 0.	.50 (-2.54 – 1.98)
WAIS-III Digit Span		0.18 (-2.00 – 3.00) 0.	.32 (-2.33 – 3.00)
WAIS-III Digit Symbol		0.43 (-2.67 – 3.00) 0.	.51 (-2.33 – 3.00)
TMT: condition 2		0.36 (-3.00 – 2.00) 0.	.44 (-3.00 – 1.67)
TMT: condition 4		0.18 (-3.00 – 1.67) 0.	.41 (-3.00 – 1.33)
ROC-analysis	did	not indicate that	the Distress
Thermometer	can	predict cancer-relate	ed cognitive

EORTC QLQ C-30

functioning Quality of life

According to the International Cognition and Cancer Task Force (ICCTF), a cognitive impairment was defined as presenting with two or more test scores of \geq 1,50 standard deviations (SDs) below published norms or one test score of \geq 2,00 SDs below norms.

REFERENCES

Wefel J.S., Vardy J., Ahles T., Schagen S.B. International Cognition and Cancer Task Force recommendations to harmonise studies of cognitive function in patients with cancer. Lancet Oncol. 2011;12(7):703-708

impairment (AUC=0.330; 95%CI(0.205-0.456)).

CONCLUSION

Results indicate that the Distress Thermometer, based on the ROC-analysis, can not predict cancer-related cognitive dysfunctions in cancer patients and that other factors may influence the observed impairments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our work was supported by the Belgian Federal Government, National Cancer Plan (KPC_2122C_044).

